

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/713,350	11/17/2003	Noritaka Miyamoto	09227.0003	3165
22852	7590 05/18/2005		EXAMINER	
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			BAREFORD, KATHERINE A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1762	
			DATE MAILED: 05/18/2003	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/713,350	MIYAMOTO ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Katherine A. Bareford	1762			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication r Reply	appears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address			
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO is usions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by steeply received by the Office later than three months after the mod patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS (at tute, cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	7 April 2005.	•			
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application.					
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
·	Claim(s) <u>5-7</u> is/are rejected.					
·—	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	d/or election requirement				
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.				
Applicat	on Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exam					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached Of	lice Action of form P10-152.			
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. Itents have been received in Application of the properties of the propertie	cation No eived in this National Stage			
Attachmen	t(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/03. Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/03. Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/03. Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/03.						

Application/Control Number: 10/713,350 Page 2

Art Unit: 1762

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- Applicant's election without traverse of Group II in the reply filed on April 7,
 2005 is acknowledged.
- 2. Claims 1-4 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR
 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or
 linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on April 7, 2005.

Specification

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the abstract should have only one paragraph. Also note that the use of numbers referencing the figures is not required.

Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

4. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Only method claims are now present, and the use of the spiraling vapor current should be noted.

Claim Objections

Application/Control Number: 10/713,350 Page 3

Art Unit: 1762

5. Claim 5 is objected to because of the following informalities: in claim 5 the preamble provides a method of forming "a thermally sprayed film on an inner face of a bore of a cylinder block". However, in the body of the claim, while there is a step of spraying "towards the inner face of the bore" with molten metal particles using a thermal spray gun, there is no positive recitation that that this spraying actually forms a film as in the preamble.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as setforth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

Application/Control Number: 10/713,350

Art Unit: 1762

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

8. Claims 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Keller (US 2001/0022995) in view of Lauterbach (US 3900639).

Keller teaches a method of forming a thermally sprayed film of coating on an inner face of a bore (barrel) of a cylinder block. Paragraph [0008]. A vapor (air) current is formed that passes through the bore and proceeds towards an opening of the bore by way of suction from a suction device that forms an air flow that passes through the bore. Paragraphs [0013] – [0017] and figure 1. While this current is formed, a thermal spray gun (a plasma gun) is inserted into the bore and a coating is sprayed. Paragraphs [0016] – [0017]. Keller further teaches that the coating would conventionally be a iron containing material. Paragraph [0002]. The air flow prevents loose coating particles from adhering to the bore surface and keeps the oxygen content bound in the applied coating in an optimized region. Paragraph [0010].

Keller teaches all the features of these claims except (1) the use of the spiraling vapor current, (2) the molten particles, (3) the velocity component (claim 6) and (4) the prevention of oxide adhering (claim 7).

However, Lauterbach teaches a method for applying a homogeneous coating of material on the surface of a workpiece. Column 1, lines 5-15. Lauterbach teaches that when performing plasma spraying it is conventional for the particles to be melted or molten. Column 1, lines 50-65. When performing such plasma spraying, decomposition

Application/Control Number: 10/713,350

Art Unit: 1762

products from the spray cause contamination problems with the coating. Column 1, line 45 through column 2, line 40. Lauterbach teaches that when performing the plasma spraying it is desirable to prevent these problems by directing a flow of gas extending transversely to the path of the plasma jet to deflect the decomposition particles from the jet. Column 2, lines 40-60 and figure 1. The use of this gas flow removes oxide decomposition particles. Column 3, lines 10-20 and column 5, lines 25-35. Lauterbach teaches that the flow of gas can be a flow of air or of inert gases. Column 5, lines 10-20. Lauterbach teaches to determine the optimum angle of traverse empirically, with the optimum angle depending on the speed of the spraying of the particles, the gas flow speed, etc. Column 4, lines 5-30 and column 5, lines 10-35.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Keller to perform routine experimentation to optimize the specific direction of the air (vapor) flow through the cylinder bore as suggested by Lauterbach in order to provide for an optimum removal of oxides and other contaminants, because Keller teaches to flow air through a cylinder bore while performing plasma spraying of the bore to help remove contaminants from the bore, and Lauterbach teaches that when providing plasma spraying of a workpiece it is desired to provide a flow of gas at an optimum transverse angle to the plasma spray jet so as to remove contaminants such as oxide particles and form a homogenous coating. This optimization would provide forming the spiral vapor current flow around an axis of the bore proceeding to an opening of the bore, as the plasma gun of Keller is rotating

Application/Control Number: 10/713,350

Art Unit: 1762

and moving through the bore in a spiral direction, and thus, a spiral flow of the corresponding vapor current would provide a consistent traverse angle of gas to the plasma spray jet. As the vapor current flow spirals around the axis of the bore, there would be an inherent velocity component directed towards a center of the bore to some degree, given the movement of the flow. As to the flow removing oxide particles, Lauterbach teaches that the removal of formed oxides is a benefit of using the traverse gas flow. As to the use of molten metal particles, it would have been obvious to spray with molten metal particles in order to provide a desirable coating, because Keller teaches the desirable use of iron materials when performing the plasma spraying, and Lauterbach teaches that it is well known for plasma sprayed materials to be in the form of molten particles.

9. Marantz also teaches a conventional process for coating cylinder bores by plasma spraying. See the abstract.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Katherine A. Bareford whose telephone number is (571) 272-1413. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F(6:00-3:30) with the First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Meeks can be reached on (571) 272-1423. The fax phone numbers

Art Unit: 1762

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and for After Final communications.

Other inquiries can be directed to the Tech Center 1700 telephone number at (571) 272-1700.

Furthermore, information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KATHERINE BAREFORD
DRIMARY EXAMINER